

Medical Device Tracking Order

Food and Drug Administration Center for Devices and Radiological Health 2098 Gaither Road Rockville, MD 20850

Ms. April Lavender Vice President Regulatory Affairs Cook, Inc. Post Office Box 489 Bloomington, Indiana 47402-0489

MAY 2 3 2003

RE: Endovascular Graft (P020018)

Dear Ms. Lavender:

You are notified by this letter of your obligation to adopt a method of tracking for the devices referenced above, as authorized by section 519(e) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, (the Act) as amended by section 211 of the Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act of 1997 (FDAMA). The implementation of section 519(e) of the Act, as amended, requires the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to issue an order to manufacturers when FDA determines that a person who manufactures and distributes a device meets the relevant statutory requirements and tracking is required to protect the public health. This order is effective immediately.

Section 519(e) of the Act, as amended, states that FDA, "...may by order require a manufacturer to adopt a method of tracking a class II or class III device—

- (A) the failure of which would be reasonably likely to have serious adverse health consequences; or
- (B) which is-
 - (i) intended to be implanted in the human body for more than one year, or
 - (ii) a life sustaining or life supporting device used outside a device user facility."

As you know, the corresponding medical device tracking regulations, found in Title 21 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 821, are intended to ensure that tracked devices can be traced from the device manufacturing facility to the person by whom the device is intended to be used when patient notification (under section 518(a) of the act) or device recall (under section 518(e) of the act) actions are ordered by the agency. The device tracking requirements for exemptions and variances, system and content requirements of tracking, the obligations of persons other than device manufacturers, such as distributors, records and inspection requirements, confidentiality, and record retention requirements, which were published in the **Federal Register** on August 16, 1993, remain in effect. (21 CFR sections 821.2, 821.25, 821.30, 821.50, 821.55 and 821.60, copy enclosed.)

This order to adopt a tracking method does not change your obligations concerning other existing FDA regulations affecting your device. FDA published in the Federal Register on February 28, 2002, an amendment to the final rule to revise the scope of the regulation and add certain patient confidentiality requirements, and non-substantive changes to remove outdated references and simplify terminology. (67 FR 6943) Please contact Chet Reynolds in the Office of Compliance at (301) 594-4618 if you need specific guidance. Other general information on your responsibilities under the Act, or more specific information, such as non-binding guidance on medical device tracking, may be obtained from the Division of Small Manufacturers, International, and Consumer Assistance at its toll-free number (800) 638-2041 or (301) 443-6597, or at the internet address www.fda.gov/cdrh.

Sincerely yours,

Timothy A. Ulatowski

Director

Office of Compliance Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data

1. General Information

Device Generic Name: Endovascular Graft and Delivery System

Device Trade Name: Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft with the

H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System

Applicant's Name and Address: Cook Incorporated

750 North Daniels Way Bloomington, Indiana 47404

Date of Panel Recommendation: April 10, 2003

Premarket Approval (PMA)

Application Number: P020018

Date of Notice of Approval

to Applicant: May 23, 2003

2. Indications and Usage

The Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft with the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System and ancillary components is indicated for the endovascular treatment of patients with abdominal aortic or aorto-iliac aneurysms having morphology suitable for endovascular repair, including:

Adequate iliac/femoral access compatible with the required introduction systems

Non-aneurysmal infrarenal aortic segment (neck) proximal to the aneurysm:

- with a length of at least 15 mm,
- with a diameter measured outer wall to outer wall of no greater than 28 mm and no less than 18 mm,
- with an angle less than 60 degrees relative to the long axis of the aneurysm, and
- with an angle less than 45 degrees relative to the axis of the suprarenal aorta.

Iliac artery distal fixation site greater than 10 mm in length and 7.5-20 mm in diameter (measured outer wall to outer wall).

3. Contraindications

There are no known contraindications.

11

4. Warnings and Precautions

See Warnings and Precautions in the labeling (Instructions for Use).

5. Device Description

The Cook Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft (Zenith) is a modular system of components consisting of multiple endovascular graft configurations and additional ancillary pieces. All components in this system use common self-expanding Z-stents sewn to traditional, currently marketed Dacron graft material using currently marketed braided polyester and monofilament polypropylene suture materials.

The main endovascular graft is selected based upon patient anatomy and implanted to exclude the aneurysmal chamber. The various ancillary components can be used to correct for inaccuracies in device size selection or to compensate for difficult anatomy encountered during the implant procedure. These ancillary components include aortic main body extensions, iliac leg extensions, converters, and occluders.

5.1. Zenith Main Endovascular Graft

The main endovascular graft (depicted in Figure 5.1-1) is a modular three component device (an aortic main body and two iliac legs), the sizes of which can be selected to match a variety of patient anatomies and specific treatment goals. These components are manufactured in a number of standard stock sizes and are supplied sterile, preloaded onto delivery systems, and ready for use with minimal pre-deployment preparation. Currently available main bodies are listed in Table 5.1-1. Currently available iliac legs are listed in Table 5.1-2.




Figure 5.1-1. Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft

The bifurcated main body component, comprised of an aortic section having an uncovered, barbed suprarenal stent at the proximal end and one long iliac limb and one short contralateral iliac limb at the distal end, is introduced via one iliac artery. An iliac leg is delivered via the contralateral iliac artery, and is docked with the short limb of the main body to form a continuous channel into the contralateral iliac artery. A second iliac leg is delivered via the ipsilateral iliac artery, and is docked with the long limb of the main body to form a continuous channel into the

ipsilateral iliac artery. (The aortic main body extensions and iliac leg extensions described in Section 5.2 can be used to provide additional length to their respective portions of the endovascular graft.)

Table 5.1-1. Catalog/reorder numbers for Zenith bifurcated main bodies

22 mm	24 mm	26 mm	28 mm	30 mm	32 mm
TFB-22-74	TFB-24-74	TFB-26-74	TFB-28-74	TFB-30-74	TFB-32-74
TFB22-88	TFB-24-88	TFB-26-88	TFB-28-88	TFB-30-88	TFB-32-88
TFB-22-103	TFB-24-103	TFB26-103	TFB-28-103	TFB-30-103	TFB-32-103
TFB22-117	TFB-24-117	TFB-26-117	TFB-28-117	TFB-30-117	TFB-32-117
TFB-22-132	TFB-24-132	TFB-26-132	TFB28-132	TFB-30-132	TFB-32-132

Note: Catalog number indicates diameter (mm) x contralateral limb length (mm).

Table 5.1-2. Catalog/reorder numbers for Zenith iliac legs

8 mm	10 mm	12 mm	14 mm	16 mm
TFLE-8-37	TFLE-10-37	TFLE-12-37	TFLE-14-37	TFLE-16-37
TFLE-8-54	TFLE-10-54	TFLE-12-54	TFLE-14-54	TFLE-16-54
TFLE-8-71	TFLE-10-71	TFLE-12-71	TFLE-14-71	TFLE-16-71
TFLE-8-88	TFLE-10-88	TFLE-12-88	TFLE-14-88	TFLE-16-88
TFLE-8-105	TFLE-10-105	TFLE-12-105		
TFLE-8-122	TFLE-10-122	TFLE-12-122		
18 mm	20 mm	22 mm	24 mm	none
TFLE-18-37	TFLE-20-37	TFLE-22-37	TFLE-24-37	
TFLE-18-54	TFLE-20-54	TFLE-22-54	TFLE-24-54	
TFLE-18-71	TFLE-20-71	TFLE-22-71	TFLE-24-71	
TFLE-18-88	TFLE-20-88	TFLE-22-88	TFLE-24-88	

Note: Catalog number indicates distal diameter (mm) x length (mm).

Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft components are constructed using full-thickness woven Dacron graft material sewn to self-expanding stainless steel Cook-Z® stents with standard surgical braided polyester and monofilament polypropylene sutures. Components are fully-stented, and are intended to provide stability and the expansile force necessary to open the lumen of the graft during deployment. Additionally, they provide the necessary attachment and seal of the Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft to the vessel wall. Cook-Z® stents are located on the inside of the graft material at the locations of vessel seal sites and portions of the overlap joints, but are located on the outside of the remainder of the graft to allow the lumen to be as smooth as possible. There is an uncovered stent at the proximal end of the graft, shown in Figure 5.1-1, which features 10-12 barbs (depending upon graft diameter) for positive attachment to the vessel wall. This uncovered stent is attached with multiple sutures at each graft material/stent attachment site.

The Cook-Z[®] stents are highly visible during deployment. In addition, radiopaque markers are located on the most lateral aspect of the short limb of the main body to facilitate proper graft orientation with respect to the contralateral iliac artery. There are also radiopaque markers on the most proximal aspect of the graft material to allow proper longitudinal adjustment of the graft relative to the renal arteries.

5.2. Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft Ancillary Components

The Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft ancillary components consist of aortic main body extensions, iliac leg extensions, converters and occluders. The aortic main body extensions and iliac leg extensions can be used to provide additional length to their respective portions of the endovascular graft. The converters and occluders can be used to convert a bifurcated graft into an aorto-uniiliac graft, if necessary (e.g. cases of Type 3 endoleak, limb occlusion, or unattainable contralateral limb cannulation). Examples of each ancillary component are shown in Figure 5.2-1.




Figure 5.2-1. Zenith Ancillary Components

Ancillary components are manufactured in a number of standard stock sizes and are supplied sterile, preloaded onto their delivery systems, and ready for use with minimal pre-deployment preparation. Currently available ancillary components are listed in Table 5.2-1.

Table 5.2-1. Catalog/reorder numbers for Zenith ancillary components

Converters	Occluders	Iliac Leg	Main Body
		Extensions	Extensions
ESC-24-12-80	ESP-14-20	ESLE-8-55	ESBE-22-36
ESC-28-12-80	ESP-16-20	ESLE-10-55	ESBE-24-36
ESC-32-12-80	ESP-20-20	ESLE-12-55	ESBE-26-36
	ESP-24-20	ESLE-14-55	ESBE-28-36
		ESLE-16-55	ESBE-30-36
		ESLE-18-55	ESBE-32-36
		ESLE-20-55	
		ESLE-22-55	
		ESLE-24-55	

Note: Catalog number for converters indicates proximal diameter (mm), distal diameter (mm), and length (mm). Catalog number for occluders and extensions indicates diameter (mm) x length (mm).

14

5.3. H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System Description

The Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft is shipped preloaded onto the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System. It has a simple sequential deployment method with built-in features to provide continuous control of the endovascular graft throughout the deployment procedure. The H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System enables precise positioning and has the ability to readjust the final graft position before deploying the barbed suprarenal Z-stent.

Modular components of the Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft are preloaded onto the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System. There are four sizes of delivery systems used for deployment (14, 16, 18, and 20 Fr). All sizes of each modular Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft component (main bodies, main body extensions, iliac legs, iliac leg extensions, converters, and occluders) are deployed using one of these four delivery system sizes. All delivery systems are operated by retracting the sheath over the grey positioner to expose the preloaded, self-expanding component. Additional delivery system features are operated, as appropriate. All systems are compatible with an 0.035 inch wire guide.

5.3.1. 18 Fr and 20 Fr Delivery Systems Description

The 18 Fr and 20 Fr H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction Systems are used to deploy various sizes of main bodies, main body extensions, and converters in the Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft family of modular components. Table 5.3.1-1 lists the catalog/reorder numbers of the main bodies deployed using these two sizes of H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction Systems. Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft main bodies ranging in diameter from 22 - 26 mm are deployed using the 18 Fr system. Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft main bodies ranging in diameter from 28 - 32 mm are deployed using the 20 Fr system.

Table 5.3.1-1. Zenith bifurcated main bodies deployed with 18 Fr and 20 Fr systems

H&L-B One-	Main Body ¹							
Shot™	74 mm	88 mm 103 mm		117 mm	132 mm			
Introduction								
System								
18 Fr System	TFB-22-74	TFB-22-88	TFB-22-103	TFB-22-117	TFB-22-132			
	TFB-24-74	TFB-24-88	TFB-24-103	TFB-24-117	TFB-24-132			
	TFB-26-74	TFB-26-88	TFB-26-103	TFB-26-117	TFB-26-132			
20 Fr System	TFB-28-74	TFB-28-88	TFB-28-103	TFB-28-117	TFB-28-132			
_ = = = = = 5	TFB-30-74	TFB-30-88	TFB-30-103	TFB-30-117	TFB-30-132			
	TFB-32-74	TFB-32-88	TFB-32-103	TFB-32-117	TFB-32-132			

1 Catalog number indicates diameter (mm) x contralateral limb length (mm).

Table 5.3.1-2 lists the catalog/reorder numbers of the main body extensions and converters deployed using these two sizes of H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction Systems. Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft main body extensions and converters ranging in diameter from 22 - 26 mm

are deployed using the 18 Fr system. Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft main body extensions and converters ranging in diameter from 28 - 32 mm are deployed using the 20 Fr system. In addition, Table 5.3.1-2 lists the iliac leg extensions deployed with the 18 Fr delivery system.

Table 5.3.1-2. Zenith ancillar	y components deployed with	18 Fr and 20 Fr systems
--------------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------------

H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System	Main Body Extension ¹	Converter ²	Iliac Leg Extension ¹
18 Fr System	ESBE-22-36	ESC-24-12-80	ESLE-22-55
	ESBE-24-36		ESLE-24-55
	ESBE-26-36		
20 Fr System	ESBE-28-36	ESC-28-12-80	none
•	ESBE-30-36	ESC-32-12-80	
	ESBE-32-36		

- Catalog number for main body extension and iliac leg extension indicates diameter (mm) x length (mm).
- 2 Catalog number for converter indicates proximal diameter (mm), distal diameter (mm), and length (mm).

When configured for main body deployment, these systems contain sideports for angiography using contrast injection through the hub, dual trigger-wire release mechanisms with safety locks, a top cap assembly coupled with the dilator tip (covered by sheath in Figure 5.3.1-1), and a bottom cap assembly (covered by sheath in Figure 5.3.1-1). These features lock the Zenith main body onto the delivery system at both ends of the component, giving the physician both longitudinal and rotational control of the endovascular graft after sheath retraction. Therefore, accurate positioning relative to the renal arteries and appropriate orientation of the contralateral limb are possible.

Figure 5.3.1-1 depicts this configuration. The top cap assembly constrains the barbed, uncovered, suprarenal Z-stent until: 1) removal of its corresponding trigger-wire (black trigger-wire release); and 2) release of the Z-stent from within the cap which is achieved by loosening the pin vise and advancing the top cap inner cannula. The bottom cap assembly and its corresponding trigger-wire constrain the ipsilateral limb of the main body until removal of its trigger-wire (white trigger-wire release).




Figure 5.3.1-1. H&L-B One-Shot[™] Introduction System (Main Body)

When configured for main body extension deployment, these systems contain a single trigger-wire release mechanism with safety lock and bottom cap assembly. No top cap is included with the dilator tip because the main body extension component does not contain a barbed suprarenal Z-stent. After sheath retraction and exposure of the self-expanding component, the distal trigger-wire is removed freeing the body extension from the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System. Figure 5.3.1-2 depicts this configuration.




Figure 5.3.1-2. H&L-B One-Shot[™] Introduction System (Main Body Extender)

When configured for iliac leg (as well as converter and iliac leg extension) deployment, these systems have no trigger-wire release mechanisms, top cap, or bottom cap assemblies. Deployment is achieved by simple sheath retraction. Figure 5.3.1-3 depicts this configuration.




Figure 5.3.1-3. H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System (Iliac Leg)

5.3.2. 14 Fr and 16 Fr delivery systems description

The 14 Fr and 16 Fr H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction Systems are used to deploy various sizes of the iliac legs and iliac leg extensions in the Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft family of modular components. These delivery systems have no trigger-wire release mechanisms, top cap, or bottom cap assemblies. Deployment is achieved by simple sheath retraction.

Table 5.3.2-1 lists the catalog/reorder numbers of the iliac legs deployed using these two sizes of H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction Systems. Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft iliac legs ranging in diameter from 8 - 16 mm are deployed using the 14 Fr system. Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft iliac legs ranging in diameter from 18 - 24 mm are deployed using the 16 Fr system.

Table 5.3.2-1.	Zenith iliac	legs deployed	with 14 Fr and	16 Fr systems
-----------------------	--------------	---------------	----------------	---------------

H&L-B	Iliac Legs ¹						
One-Shot™							
Introduction							
System							
14 Fr System	TFLE-8-37	TFLE-10-37	TFLE-12-37	TFLE-14-37	TFLE-16-37		
	TFLE-8-54	TFLE-10-54	TFLE-12-54	TFLE-14-54	TFLE-16-54		
	TFLE-8-71	TFLE-10-71	TFLE-12-71	TFLE-14-71	TFLE-16-71		
	TFLE-8-88	TFLE-10-88	TFLE-12-88	TFLE-14-88	TFLE-16-88		
	TFLE-8-105	TFLE-10-105	TFLE-12-105				
	TFLE-8-122	TFLE-10-122	TFLE-12-122				
16 Fr System	TFLE-18-37	TFLE-20-37	TFLE-22-37	TFLE-24-37	none		
	TFLE-18-54	TFLE-20-54	TFLE-22-54	TFLE-24-54			
	TFLE-18-71	TFLE-20-71	TFLE-22-71	TFLE-24-71			
	TFLE-18-88	TFLE-20-88	TFLE-22-88	TFLE-24-88			

Catalog number indicates distal diameter (mm) x length (mm).

Table 5.3.2-2 lists the catalog/reorder numbers of the iliac leg extensions deployed using these two sizes of H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction Systems. Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft iliac leg extensions ranging in diameter from 8 - 16 mm are deployed using the 14 Fr system. Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft iliac leg extensions ranging in diameter from 18 - 20 mm are deployed using the 16 Fr system.

Table 5.3.2-2. Zenith ancillary components deployed with 14 Fr and 16 Fr systems

H&L-B One-Shot Introduction System	Iliac Leg Extensions 1
14 Fr System	ESLE-8-55
	ESLE-10-55
_	ESLE-12-55
	ESLE-14-55
	ESLE-16-55
16 Fr System	ESLE-18-55
	ESLE-20-55

1 Catalog number indicates diameter (mm) x length (mm).

5.3.3. Occluder Cartridge Description

A delivery sheath is used together with a preloaded cartridge (transfer capsule) for occluder deployment. Table 5.3.3-1 lists the catalog/reorder numbers of the occluders deployed using the delivery sheath. All Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft occluders are deployed using an 18 Fr sheath.

Table 5.3.3-1. Zenith occluders deployed with 18 Fr systems

Delivery Sheath	Occluders ¹
18 Fr System	ESP-14-20
	ESP-16-20
	ESP-20-20
	ESP-24-20

1 Catalog number for occluders indicates diameter (mm) x length (mm).

To deploy the occluder, the preloaded cartridge containing the occluder is docked with the back of the hemostatic valve on the end of the sheath, after the sheath has been placed within the iliac artery. A blunt pusher included with the occluder cartridge kit is then used to push the occluder out of the cartridge and into the sheath, transferring it to the delivery sheath. Once transferred, the occluder is then advanced with the blunt pusher through the sheath to the location of deployment within the artery. The occluder cartridge, blunt positioner, and delivery sheath are depicted in Figure 5.3.3-1.




Figure 5.3.3-1. Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft Occluder Cartridge System

6. Alternate Practices and Procedures

The traditional standard of care for treatment of abdominal aortic aneurysms is surgical implantation of a synthetic graft within the diseased vessel. Surgical repair of AAA is indicated when the risk of rupture is judged to be greater than the risks of the procedure.

Patients who are unacceptable surgical or anesthesia candidates may be medically managed and closely monitored or recommended for endovascular repair.

7. Marketing History

The Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft with the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System is currently available throughout the world including European countries (Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom), Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, Chile, China, Hong Kong, India, Israel, Lebanon, Malaysia, New Zealand, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, and South Africa. The Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft with the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System has not been withdrawn from marketing for any reason relating to safety and effectiveness.

8. Adverse Events

8.1. Observed Adverse Events

A U.S. multicenter, prospective study conducted at 15 centers which included 352 endovascular patients (200 standard risk, 100 high risk and 52 roll-in) and 80 control patients provide the basis of the observed adverse event rates in Table 8.1-1.

Table 8.1-1. Death and rupture from clinical study

Death and rupture	Zenith Standard Risk ¹		Surgical Standard Risk		P value	Zenith High Risk		Zenith Roll-in	
All death (0-30 days) ²	0.5%	(1/199)	2.5%	(2/80)	.20	2.0%	(2/100)	1.9%	(1/52)
(31-365 days) ^{2,3}	3.0%	(6/198)	1.3%	(1/78)	.68	7.1%	(7/98)	9.8%	(5/51)
AAA-related	0.0%	(0/198)	1.3%	(1/78)	.29	3.1%	(3/98)	0.0%	(0/51)
Non-AAA-related	3.0%	(6/198)	0.0%	(0/78)	.19	4.1%	(4/98)	9.8%	(5/51)
(0-365 days) ^{2,3,4}	3.5%	(7/199)	3.8%	(3/80)	>.99	9.0%	(9/100)	11.5%	(6/52)
AAA-related	0.5%	(1/199)	3.8%	(3/80)	.07	5.0%	(5/100)	1.9%	(1/52)
Non-AAA-related	3.0%	(6/199)	0.0%	(0/80)	.19	4.0%	(4/100)	9.6%	(5/52)
Rupture (0-30 days)	0.0%	(0/199)		n/a	n/a	0.0%	(0/100)	0.0%	(0/52)
(31-365 days)	0.0%	(0/198)		n/a	n/a	1.0%	(1/98)	0.0%	(0/51)
(0-365 days)	0.0%	(0/199)		n/a	n/a	1.0%	(1/100)	0.0%	(0/52)

¹ Denominator of 199 because one standard risk patient did not receive a device.

² All deaths (0-30 days) were considered AAA and procedure related.

Of the deaths (31-365 days), four were considered AAA related: I surgical (septic shock from ischemic colitis) and 3 high risk (pancreatitis with renal failure and sepsis, hemorrhage from upper abdominal aneurysm [not treated AAA], and multiple system failure).

⁴ Of the deaths (0-365 days), ten were considered AAA related: 1 standard risk (cardiac failure), 3 surgical (massive hemorrhage, mesenteric ischemia, and septic shock from ischemic colitis), 5 high risk (respiratory failure, cardiac failure with pulmonary embolism, pancreatitis with renal failure and sepsis, hemorrhage from upper abdominal aneurysm [not treated AAA], and multiple system failure) and 1 roll-in (suspected cardiac failure).

Table 8.1-2. Adverse events in clinical study

Audie o.i 2. /kdve	Zenith Standard Risk	Surgical Standard Risk	P value	Zenith High Risk	Zenith Roll-in	
Freedom from Morbidity (0-30 days)	80% (160/200)	58% (46/80)	<.001	68% (68/100)	73% (38/52)	
Cardiovascular ²	3.0% (6/200)	11% (9/80)	.02	14% (14/100)	1.9% (1/52)	
Pulmonary 3	1.0% (2/200)	15% (12/80)	<.001	2.0% (2/100)	0.0% (0/52)	
Renal 4.9	2.5% (5/200)	10% (8/80)	.01	6.0% (6/100)	5.8% (3/52)	
Bowel 5	1.0% (2/200)	3.8% (3/80)	.14	1.0% (1/100)	1.9% (1/52)	
Wound 6	4.5% (9/200)	7.5% (6/80)	.38	2.0% (2/100)	3.8% (2/52)	
Neurologic 7	0.0% (0/200)	2.5% (2/80)	.08	0.0% (0/100)	0.0% (0/52)	
Vascular 8.11	11% (21/200)	31% (25/80)	<.001	20% (20/100)	19% (10/52)	
Freedom from Morbidity (31-365 days)	91% (181/198)	86% (67/78)	.25	79% (77/98)	86% (44/51)	
Cardiovascular ²	2.5% (5/198)	3.8% (3/78)	.69	5.1% (5/98)	2.0% (1/51)	
Pulmonary 3	0.5% (1/198)	1.3% (1/78)	.49	4.1% (4/98)	0.0% (0/51)	
Renal 4,10	0.5% (1/198)	0.0% (0/78)	>.99	3.1% (3/98)	0.0% (0/51)	
Bowel 5	0.5% (1/198)	1.3% (1/78)	.49	0.0% (0/98)	0.0% (0/51)	
Wound 6	2.0% (4/198)	5.1% (4/78)	.23	3.1% (3/98)	2.0% (1/51)	
Neurologic ⁷	1.0% (2/198)	0.0% (0/78)	>.99	1.0% (1/98)	3.9% (2/51)	
Vascular 8	3.0 % (6/198)	3.8% (3/78)	.72	8.2% (8/98)	5.9 % (3/51)	
Freedom from Morbidity (0-365 days)	76% (151/200)	49% (39/80)	<.001	55% (55/100)	62% (32/52)	
Cardiovascular ²	5.0% (10/200)	14% (11/80)	.02	19% (19/100)	3.8% (2/52)	
Pulmonary 3	1.5% (3/200)	16 % (13/80)	<.001	6.0 % (6/100)	0.0% (0/52)	
Renal 4.5,10	2.5% (5/200)	10% (8/80)	.01	9.0% (9/100)	5.8% (3/52)	
Bowel 5	1.5% (3/200)	3.8% (3/80)	.36	1.0% (1/100)	1.9% (1/52)	
Wound 6	5.5% (11/200)	13% (10/80)	.08	5.0% (5/100)	5.8% (3/52)	
Neurologic ⁷	1.0% (2/200)	2.5% (2/80)	.32	1.0% (1/100)	3.8% (2/52)	
Vascular 8.11	12% (24/200)	33% (26/80)	<.001	25% (25/100)	23% (12/52)	

- 1 From the morbidity index.
- 2 Cardiovascular included: Q-wave and non-Q-wave myocardial infarctions, congestive heart failure, arrhythmias requiring new medication or treatment, cardiac ischemia requiring intervention, inotropic support, medically intractable hypertension.
- Pulmonary included: reintubation or ventilation >24 hours, pneumonia requiring antibiotics, supplemental oxygen at discharge.
- 4 Renal included: dialysis in patients with normal preoperative renal function, creatinine rise >30% from baseline on two or more follow-up tests.
- 5 Bowel included: bowel obstruction, bowel ischemia, aorto-enteric fistula, paralytic ileus >4 days.
- Wound included: infection requiring antibiotic treatment, hernia, lymph fistula, dehiscence, necrosis requiring debridement.
- Neurological includes: stroke, TIA, spinal cord ischemia/paralysis.
- Vascular included: limb thrombosis, distal embolization resulting in tissue loss or requiring intervention, transfusion post-procedure (resulting from pseudoaneurysm, vascular injury, aneurysm leak or other procedure-related causes), pseudoaneurysm, vascular injury (such as inadvertent occlusion, dissection or other procedure related causes), aneurysm leak or rupture, increase in aneurysm size by more than 0.5 cm relative to the smallest of any prior measurement.
- Investigators reported one additional high risk patient to have occlusion of an accessory renal artery and one additional high risk patient to have chronic renal insufficiency as "other" adverse events.
- 10 Investigators reported one additional roll-in patient to have renal insufficiency and one additional surgical patient to have renal insufficiency as "other" adverse events.
- 11 Investigators reported one additional roll-in patient to have experienced intraoperative aortic plaque rupture resulting in renal artery occlusion as an "other" adverse event.

Table 8.1-3. Other complications to 12 months

	Zenith Standard Risk		Surgical Standard Risk		P value	P Zenith value High Risk		Zenith Roll-in	
Other (0 to 30 days)	15%	(30/200)	38%	(30/80)	<.001	16%	(16/100)	31%	(16/52)
Other (31-365 days)	8.1%	(16/198)	7.7%	(6/78)	>.99	11%	(11/98)	12%	(6/51)
Other (0 to 365 days)	22%	(43/200)	40%	(32/80)	<.01	25%	(25/100)	35%	(18/52)

Investigators reported the following as "other" adverse events (surgical and endovascular arms, surgical listed in italics), that is, events not identified and defined prospectively as reportable events for the study: abdominal pain (3), abnormal wound healing (e.g., wound drainage), acute respiratory distress, anemia (4), angina (2), anorexia, anxiety, appendiceal adenocarcinoma, atelectasis, atheroembolic event, back pain (3), bilateral ecchymosis, bladder tumor (3), blindness in right eye, bradycardia (treated by holding medication), bronchitis, candida in urine (prolonged ICU stay), carcinoma of the iliocecal junction, cardiomyopathy, carotid stenosis (2), chest pain (2, 1), chronic lower extremity pain, claudication (3, 1), confusion (1, 1), congestive heart failure exacerbation, constipation (2, 1), COPD exacerbation, deep vein thrombosis (3, 1), dehydration (3, 1), difficult colitis, difficulty urinating, difficulty with blood pressure management, duodenal ulcer, edema, elevation of liver enzymes, embolization without tissue loss, enlarged heart, fatigue, fever (3, 1), foot pain, gastroenteritis, gout, hematuria (1, 1), hemoglobin volume depletion, hepatocellular carcinoma, hyperkeratotic wound, hypertension, hypoglycemic episode, hypotension, impotence (2), incisional pain, left ventricular thrombus, lost pedal pulse, lymphocele, mitral regurgitation, neurological changes, neuropathy, numbness in groin, oral thrush, pancreatitis (1, 1), paresthesia of lower extremity, PEG tube placement, pleural effusion, positive blood culture, pressure sore, prolonged intubation (<24 hours), pulmonary edema (1, 1), pulmonary embolism, purpura, reaction to transfusion, respiratory distress, respiratory insufficiency (1, 1), retrograde ejaculation, scrotal/penile swelling, sepsis, seroma, shingles (2), shortness of breath, sinus surgery, splenectomy, stroke induced dysphagia, syncopal episode, testicular infarction, testicular pain (2), testicular swelling, thrombocytopenia, thrombus in distal lower extremity (treated with embolectomy), tracheobronchitis, tracheostomy, upper GI bleed (2), urinary frequency (2), urinary retention (2), urinary tract infection (2, 1), urosepsis, ventricular tachycardia (asymptomatic, not requiring treatment), vomiting/nausea (3), winged scapula, wound slough with debridement, yeast in urinary tract.

8.2. Potential Adverse Events

Adverse events that may occur and/or require intervention include, but are not limited to:

- Amputation
- Anesthetic complications and subsequent attendant problems (e.g., aspiration)
- Aneurysm enlargement
- Aneurysm rupture and death
- Aortic damage, including perforation, dissection, bleeding, rupture, and death
- Arterial or venous thrombosis and/or pseudoaneurysm
- Arteriovenous fistula
- Bleeding, hematoma, or coagulopathy
- Bowel (e.g., ileus, transient ischemia, infarction, necrosis)
- Cardiac complications and subsequent attendant problems (e.g., arrhythmia, myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, hypotension, hypertension)
- Claudication (e.g. buttock, lower limb)
- Death
- Edema
- Embolization (micro and macro) with transient or permanent ischemia
- Endoleak
- Endoprosthesis: improper component placement; incomplete component deployment; component migration, suture break; occlusion; infection; stent fracture; graft material wear, dilatation, erosion, puncture, perigraft flow, barb separation and corrosion
- Fever and localized inflammation
- Genitourinary complications and subsequent attendant problems (e.g., ischemia, erosion, fistula, incontinence, hematuria, infection)
- Graft or native vessel occlusion
- Hepatic failure

- Impotence
- Infection of the aneurysm, device or access site, including abscess formation, transient fever and pain
- Lymphatic complications and subsequent attendant problems (e.g., lymph fistula)
- Neurologic local or systemic complications and subsequent attendant problems (e.g., stroke, transient ischemic attack, paraplegia, paraparesis, paralysis)
- Occlusion of device or native vessel
- Pulmonary/respiratory complications and subsequent attendant problems (e.g., pneumonia, respiratory failure, prolonged intubation)
- Renal complications and subsequent attendant problems (e.g., artery occlusion, contrast toxicity, insufficiency, failure)
- Surgical conversion to open repair
- Vascular access site complications, including infection, pain, hematoma, pseudoaneurysm, arterio-venous fistula
- Vessel damage
- Wound complications and subsequent attendant problems (e.g., dehiscence, infection)
- Vascular spasm or vascular trauma (e.g., ilio-femoral vessel dissection, bleeding, rupture, death)

9. Summary of Pre-Clinical Results

9.1. Biocompatibility

Toxicology and biocompatibility testing was conducted for materials in the Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft and the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System by an independent laboratory (NAMSA, Northwood, OH). Testing was conducted in accordance with Federal Good Laboratory Practices per 21 CFR §58. The Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft was classified per ISO 10993-1 Biological Evaluation of Medical Device as an implant device with permanent contact. The H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System was classified as an externally communicating device with limited exposure (≤ 24 hr). Table 9.1-1 summarizes biocompatibility testing for the implant. Table 9.1-2 summarizes biocompatibility testing for the delivery system.

Table 9.1-1. Summary of Biocompatibility Tests for the Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft, All With Acceptable Results

Biocompatibility Test
Physicochemical Study (Nonaqueous Extract)
Cytotoxicity Study Using the ISO Elution Method (1X MEM Extract)
ISO Sensitization Study in the Guinea Pig (Maximization Method)
ISO Acute Intracutaneous Reactivity Study in the Rabbit (Extracts)
ISO Acute Systemic Toxicity Study in the Mouse (Extracts)
ISO Rabbit Pyrogen Study (Material Mediated)
Genotoxicity: Bacterial Reverse Mutation Study (Saline Extract)
Genotoxicity: Bacterial Reverse Mutation Study (DMSO Extract)
Genotoxicity: In Vitro Chromosomal Aberration Study in Mammalian Cells (Extract)
Mouse Bone Marrow Micronucleus Study
In Vitro Hemolysis Study (Modified ASTM – Extraction Method)
Plasma Recalcification Time Coagulation Study
C3a Complement Activation Assay
Subchronic Intravenous Toxicity Study in the Rat (14 Day, Saline Extract)
ISO Muscle Implantation Study in the Rabbit with Histopathology (4 Weeks)
ISO Muscle Implantation Study in the Rabbit with Histopathology (12 Weeks)
ISO Muscle Implantation Study in the Rabbit with Histopathology (26 Weeks)

Table 9.1-2. Summary of Biocompatibility Tests for the H&L-B One-Shot™ Delivery System, All With Acceptable Results.

Biocompatibility Test	
USP Physicochemical Study	
Physicochemical Study (Nonaqueous Extract)	
Cytotoxicity Study using the ISO Elution Method (1X MEM Extract)	
ISO Sensitization Study in the Guinea Pig (Maximization Method)	
ISO Acute Intracutaneous Reactivity Study in the Rabbit (Extracts)	
ISO Acute Systemic Toxicity Study in the Mouse (Extracts)	
In Vitro Hemolysis Study (Modified ASTM – Extraction Method)	
Rabbit Pyrogen Study (Material Mediated)	

9.2. Product Testing

Cook conducted non-clinical bench and analytical testing on the Zenith[®] AAA Endovascular Graft and the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System considering methodology from multiple national and international standards and guidances. Compilation and cross-referencing of guidance methodology from these documents in light of the Zenith[®] AAA Endovascular Graft and the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System design is the basis for tests presented in this summary. Documents considered include the following:

Table 9.2-1. International Standards and Guidances Considered in the Zenith Testing Plan

Document	Title
ANSI/AAMI 10993-1-1994	Biological Evaluation of Medical Devices
ANSI/AAMI VP20-1994	Cardiovascular Implants - Vascular Prostheses
AAMI/CDV-1 14971-1998	Medical devices - Risk management - Application of risk management to medical devices (committee draft for vote)
ISO/DIS 7198-1-1990	Cardiovascular Implants - Tubular Vascular Prostheses (draft standard)
ISO/CD 15539-1998	Cardiovascular implants - Endovascular devices (committee draft for comment)
FDA Guidance-1993	Guidance for the Preparation of Research and Marketing Applications for Vascular Graft Prostheses (draft guidance)
FDA Guidance-1994	Guidance for the Submission of Research and Marketing Applications for Interventional Cardiology Devices: PTCA Catheters, Atherectomy Catheters, Lasers, Intravascular Stents
prEN 12006-2-1995	Vascular Prostheses and Cardiovascular Patches (European draft standard)
prEN 12006-3-1998	Non-active surgical implants; particular requirements for cardiac and vascular implants Part 3: Endovascular devices (European draft standard)

The express intent of this *in vitro* testing was to verify that the performance attributes of the Zenith[®] AAA Endovascular Graft and the H&L-B One-Shot[™] Introduction System are sufficient to minimize adverse events under anticipated clinical conditions.

9.2.1. Delivery System Test Results Summary

The following table summarizes testing performed to assess the delivery system's ability to access the implant location, accurately deploy the implant, safely withdraw, and maintain hemostasis.

Table 9.2.1-1. Summary of Results Related to H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System Functionality

In Vitro Test	Relevant Functional Requirement	Summary of Test Result
Tensile/ Bond Strength	 Ability to access the intended location Ability to deploy the implant Ability to withdraw the delivery system 	The tensile strengths of the bonds and joints of the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System were determined. A total of 220 catheter bonds were tested and shown to have tensile strengths between 10.6 and 73.2 lbf. Results indicate that there is at least a 95% confidence level that the minimum tensile strength of each catheter bond will exceed the established acceptance criterion.
Torsional Bond Strength	 Ability to access the intended location Ability to deploy the implant 	The torsional strengths of the bonds and joints of the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System were determined. A total of 130 catheter bonds were tested and shown to have torque strengths between 11.7 and 58.1 inoz. Mean bond strength met the acceptance criterion for each joint tested.
Dimensional Verification	 Ability to access the intended location Ability to deploy the implant 	Dimensional checks are part of internal QC records verifying conformance to design specifications for each device.
Crossing Profile	 Ability to access the intended location Ability to deploy the implant Ability to withdraw the delivery system Hemostasis of the delivery system 	Crossing profile was analyzed for all four delivery system sizes (14, 16, 18, and 20 Fr) and shown to range between 5.4 and 7.8 mm. All delivery systems passed the established acceptance criterion, demonstrating the delivery systems are capable of being inserted into the vasculature during clinical use.

In Vitro	Relevant Functional	Summary of Test Result
Test	Requirement	
Top Cap Microscopic Inspection	 Ability to deploy the implant Ability to withdraw the delivery system 	A total of 10 top caps were split in half and microscopically examined. Inspection of the lumens revealed only slight surface scratches which could be attributed to manufacturing, loading, or deployment. There was no evidence of pitting or gouging of the top cap material by the suprarenal stent barbs. Results of microscopic examination demonstrate that the top cap of the H&L-B One-Shot TM Introduction System is unlikely to pit or gouge during deployment of the barbed suprarenal Z-stent.
Deployment Test	 Ability to access the intended location Ability to deploy the implant Ability to withdraw the delivery system 	Comprehensive evaluation of <i>in vitro</i> deployment was conducted in a clinically relevant model. A total of 113 finished sterilized devices were tested with 100% deployment success. Results of deployment testing demonstrate the ability of all four sizes (14, 16, 18, and 20 Fr) of the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System to deliver the Zenith in a safe, consistent and accurate manner. Delivery systems are capable of deploying all modular components (main bodies, main body extensions, iliac legs, iliac leg extensions, converters, and occluders) in the entire product line. The deployment mechanisms of the delivery systems functioned to release the pre-loaded endovascular graft components. After release, each component expanded in the intended deployment location, and the delivery system was withdrawn successfully.
Minimum Bend Radius Test	 Ability to access the intended location Ability to deploy the implant Ability to withdraw the delivery system 	A total of 108 delivery systems (all four sizes: 14, 16, 18, and 20 Fr) containing the largest and smallest diameters of main bodies, main body extensions, iliac legs, iliac leg extensions, converters and occluders were passed through a clinically-relevant model mimicking percutaneous introduction and then deployed. 100% successful deployment was achieved for all components. All delivery systems passed the established acceptance criterion, demonstrating the Zenith components may be deployed after worst case (percutaneous introduction) insertion into patient vasculature.
Catheter Body Maximum - Pressure Test	Ability to deploy accurately	The lower bound of catheter body maximum pressure was calculated to be 58.6 ksi, considerably higher than that expected from a typical power contrast injector. Therefore, the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System is considered suitable for contrast injection.

The results of *in vitro* testing demonstrate that the Zenith[®] AAA Endovascular Graft has met the physical and mechanical design goals.



9.2.2. Implant Test Results Summary

The following table summarizes testing performed to assess the implant's deployment accuracy, fixation effectiveness, durability, ability to exclude the aneurysm, modularity, sizing, patency, and MRI compatibility.

Table 9.2.2-1. Summary of Test Results Related to Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft Functionality

In Vitro Test	Relevant Functional Requirement	Summary of Test Result
Graft Material Mechanical Properties Test	 Testing of the modularity of the endovascular system Appropriate sizing of the implant Durability and integrity of the implanted device 	The full thickness Dacron graft material used in Zenith construction is a currently-marketed device (cleared for marketing by FDA in 1993). As such, base graft porosity, longitudinal tensile strength, circumferential tensile strength, probe burst strength, suture retention strength, kink radius, and wall thickness data obtained are consistent with vascular grafts.
Stainless Steel Material Analysis Test	Durability and integrity of the implanted device	All sizes of stainless steel wire used in Zenith construction were chemically analyzed and quantified. The material analysis meets the manufacturing material specification.
Stainless Steel Mechanical Properties Test	Durability and integrity of the implanted device	Tensile testing was performed on all three stent wire sizes to characterize the mechanical properties of the material. These properties include modulus of elasticity, yield strength, and ultimate strength. In addition, rotary beam fatigue testing was conducted on all three stent wire sizes (at three different stress levels) to characterize wire fatigue parameters. Results indicate the wire used in Zenith construction meets the manufacturing specification.
Solder Material Analysis	 Durability and integrity of the implanted device 	The solder used in Zenith construction was chemically analyzed and quantified. The material analysis meets the manufacturing material specification.

In Vitro Test	Relevant	Summary of Test Result
	Functional	
	Requirement	
Time- Accelerated Corrosion Test	Durability and integrity of the implanted device	A total of 12 stent samples were immersed in an accelerated corrosion bath for various periods of time and then removed for examination. The resistance of the stainless steel wire, solder, and gold markers to general, pitting, crevice, and galvanic corrosion was evaluated. There is a potential for solder loss due to corrosion, however, the loss in solder is not anticipated to be greater than 50% over 10 years and there is a redundancy in design intended to provide additional protection from migration as a result of barb slippage due to solder loss. Results demonstrate no apparent corrosion of the stainless steel wire and gold markers after 12 years of simulated implant time.
Barb Attachment Strength Test (after corrosion test)	Durability and integrity of the implanted device	A total of 36 barbs were tensile tested after immersion in an accelerated corrosion bath. Mean barb attachment (shear) strength was approximately 6 times the acceptance criterion after 12 years of simulated implant (corrosion) time.
Cannula (portion of Z-stent) Tensile Test (after corrosion test)	Durability and integrity of the implanted device	A total of 11 stent cannula were tensile tested after immersion in an accelerated corrosion bath. Minimum cannula tensile strength was approximately 6 times the acceptance criterion after 12 years of simulated implant (corrosion) time.
Stent Free- Area Percentage	Patency of the implant	Stent free-area percentages were calculated for the stent configurations used in the suprarenal stent position. Free-area percentages for these Z-stents are 82% and 84% respectively, for the worst case (smallest aorta) conditions.
Radial Force Test	 Expansion and sealing effectiveness of the implant Appropriate sizing of the implant Patency of the implant 	Radial force testing was performed on 45 samples of Z-stents, which included configurations used in the entire Zenith product line. Results demonstrate the ability of the Z-stent to exert an outward non-zero radial force on the graft material even under worst case (largest resting diameter) conditions allowing the Z-stents to expand and maintain an open lumen and provide sealing in a variety of patient anatomies.

In Vitro Test	Relevant	Summary of Test Result
	Functional	
Time-	RequirementDurability and	Time-accelerated pulsatile fatigue testing was
Accelerated Pulsatile	integrity of the implanted	conducted on 31 bifurcated grafts to an equivalent of 10 years. At 10 years, there was no loss of
Fatigue Test	device	device function, fragmentation, barb separation,
(Three-piece		suprarenal stent breaks, or cannula separations.
bifurcated graft)		No component separations, migrations, graft kinks, or graft twists were observed and all grafts were patent. A single Z-stent vertex break was
		observed. This test was not designed to assess suture and graft material abrasion, although it was
		noted. Binomial statistics demonstrate with at
		least 95% confidence that 99% of the Zenith stents will not fracture after 10 years of implant
		time meeting the acceptance criteria for this test.
Time- Accelerated	Durability and	Time-accelerated longitudinal fatigue testing of
Longitudinal	integrity of the implanted	the double-sutured suprarenal stent attachment site was conducted on 30 attachment sites to an
Fatigue Test	device	equivalent of 10 years. At 10 years, there were
(Suprarenal	Fixation	no failures of the suprarenal attachment sutures or
Attachment)	effectiveness of	graft material at the suprarenal attachment sites.
	the implant	Therefore, fatigue failure is unlikely to occur
		under normal conditions, when the device is used in accordance with the IFU.
Finite	Durability and	Force versus diameter curves and maximum
Element	integrity of the	stress (in the loop or eye of the stent points)
Analysis	implanted	versus diameter curves were generated
	device	demonstrating the ability of the Z-stent to self-
		expand the graft during deployment. Fatigue analysis of the Z-stents and their barbs
		demonstrated that fatigue failure is unlikely to
		occur during the expected implant life of the
		Zenith under normal conditions, when the device
		is used in accordance with the IFU.
Magnetic	• MRI	The MR safety and compatibility of the
Resonance	compatibility	Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft has been
Imaging Test		evaluated through bench testing in MRI systems with static fields of ≤ 1.5 Tesla, gradient
		magnetic fields of ≤ 20 Tesla/second, and whole
		body averaged specific absorption rate
		(SAR) of 1.2 W/kg for 30 minutes of
		imaging. The Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft
		was found to exhibit torque and deflection of the
		stainless steel metallic component of the
		endovascular graft and therefore did not meet
		standard 'MR Safe' bench test criteria. The
		Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft may affect image quality (image artifact) depending on the
		pulse sequence that is used for MR imaging.
	<u></u>	paise sequence that is ased for this imaging.

In Vitro Test	Relevant Functional	Summary of Test Result		
Dimensional Verification	 Requirement Ability to accurately deploy Appropriate sizing of the implant 	Records from work orders indicate that production processes are outlined sufficiently to enable repeatable dimensional characteristics from lot-to-lot of manufacturing.		
Water Permeability Test	Ability to exclude the aneurysm Testing of the modularity of the endovascular system	Water permeability of the Zenith was determined to be 165.0±109.7 (ml/cm²/min) using the methods defined in ANSI/AAMI VP:20 – 1994 using 9 samples containing sutures. Results of this test demonstrate that the addition of sutures, during Zenith manufacturing, does not significantly increase the mean permeability of the Zenith above that of the virgin graft material. Zenith samples met the acceptance criterion for this test.		
Graft to Stent Attachment Strength Test (attaching suprarenal stent and body stents to graft)	Durability and integrity of the implanted device	Mean attachment strength of 27 test sample sutures was determined to range between 4.98 and 6.15 lbf which is more than twice the acceptance criterion for attachment strength. Results indicate that detachment of the graft material from the Z-stents due to inadequate graft to stent attachment strength is unlikely.		
Kink Radius Test	 Ability to accurately deploy Fixation effectiveness of the implant Patency of the implant 	The radius at which component kinking occurs was measured for a total of 90 test samples. Acceptance criteria for the main body components are based upon the anatomical restrictions established for angulation between the aortic neck and the longitudinal axis of the aneurysm. Kink radius test results demonstrate the Zenith is expected to resist kinking when implanted within aortas having a degree of angulation ≤ 60°.		
Migration Resistance Test	 Fixation effectiveness of the implant Testing of the modularity of the endovascular system 	Forces acting to cause migration <i>in vivo</i> were estimated using analytical and CFD methods. Migration resistance of the main body, ipsilateral leg, contralateral leg, main body extension, iliac leg extension, and occluder were compared to these estimates and found to meet the acceptance criteria established for this test. Based upon these results, component migration appears unlikely.		

9.3. Shelf Life Testing

Results demonstrate that the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System is capable of deploying the Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft after three years of simulated aging. The endovascular graft has been shown to self-expand and the delivery system components have been shown to function satisfactorily. Tensile and torque test results demonstrate the delivery system retains adequate component and bond strength. Dimensional measurements indicate the endovascular graft retains dimensional stability. Therefore, based upon these test results, a three year expiration date has been established for all sizes of every component in the Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft family (main bodies, main body extensions, iliac legs, iliac leg extensions, converters, and occluders).

9.4. Animal Studies

Throughout development, the Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft was evaluated in multiple animal studies. Eight studies involving 85 animals evaluated device placement accuracy, device deployment, aneurysm exclusion, potential for adverse events, histology and survival. These studies demonstrated that the delivery system is capable of accessing the aneurysm site, accurately deploying the Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft in an infrarenal position with good visibility and ease of operation, and can be withdrawn effectively, all with minimal blood loss during the procedure. The implant was shown to be capable of self-expanding into its deployed position. It successfully excluded the aneurysm while remaining patent and in position after implant, demonstrating the effectiveness of the design and appropriateness of the device sizing method. In these studies, there was a low incidence of adverse events. Histological and pathological analyses demonstrated implantation of the Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft to be minimally traumatic and non-reactive in these early studies.

In addition, a definitive animal study performed under U.S. FDA Good Laboratory Practice regulations was conducted on the final design for the U.S. clinical trial to provide histopathology and gross anatomical observations to demonstrate device safety before clinical approval and to provide controlled histology not available from clinical studies. Study results demonstrate the safety of the Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft. Table 9.4-1 summarizes the results of the definitive animal study.

Table 9.4-1. Summary of definitive non-clinical in vivo study

Animal	# / Type	Test	Methods	Results/Conclusions
Study	of	Article		
	Animal			
Sub-	15	Human-	Catheter	All acceptance criteria were
Chronic and	Canines	Sized	delivery and	met. 100% successful
Chronic		Zenith	device	deployment of the Zenith in
Study of		Componen	functionality	an infrarenal position was
Tapered		ts and	were assessed	achieved and 100% device
Endoprosthe		H&L-B	sub-	patency at 1, 3, and 6 months
sis		One-Shot™	chronically	was evidenced by
		Introductio	and	angiography. There was no
		n System	chronically in	thrombosis, aortic rupture, or
			15 animals.	death observed in the animal
			Four canines	study. Qualitative
			were	histopathologic evaluation
	1		maintained for	performed by an independent
		İ	one month,	board-certified pathologist
	1		five canines	demonstrates minimal injury
			were	and inflammation.
			maintained for	
			three months	
			and six	
			canines were	
			maintained for	
			six months.	

10. Summary of Clinical Studies

10.1. Objectives

The objective of the clinical study was to investigate the safety and effectiveness of the Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft as an alternative to open surgical repair in the primary treatment of infrarenal abdominal aortic, or aorto-iliac aneurysms through assessment of device safety as measured by the incidence of adverse events and factors related to morbidity and device effectiveness as measured by technical, procedural, and treatment success, and rupture-free survival at 12 months. Additionally, measures related to the procedure, patient recovery, clinical utility, and quality of life were assessed.

10.2. Zenith[®] AAA Endovascular Graft with the H&L-B One-Shot[™] Introduction System Study Design

The clinical study was a multicenter, concurrently controlled study comparing standard risk endovascular patients having anatomy suitable for the Zenith[®] AAA Endovascular Graft with the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System to a control group comprised of

standard risk surgical patients. Two additional endovascular treatment groups, one which allowed high medical risk patients to be treated with the Zenith[®] AAA Endovascular Graft with the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System, and the other a roll-in treatment group of initial cases. Fifteen centers enrolled 200 standard risk, 80 surgical control, 100 high risk, and 52 roll-in patients. Clinical and imaging follow-up were scheduled for post-procedure and 1 month, 6 months, 12 months, and annually thereafter. Patient follow-up and accountability at 1 month, 12 months, and 24 months are presented in Table 10.2-2 as these were the primary data analysis time points. Data provided in this summary are based on findings from an independent core lab that reviewed CT scans, abdominal x-rays, and ultrasound scans to assess aneurysm changes, device position and integrity, and endoleaks.

Table 10.2-2. Patient follow-up and accountability: standard risk and surgical patients

Treatment	Sta	Zenith ndard R	isk	Surgical Standard Risk		
Interval	1 mo.	12	24	1 mo.	12	24
		mo.	mo.		mo.	mo.
No Device	1	12	12	0	0	n/a
Conversion to Open Repair	0	2^2	2 ²	n/a	n/a	n/a
Expired	1	7	17	2	3	n/a
Withdrawn/Lost to Follow-up	0	0	2	0	4	n/a
Available	198	190	178	78	73	n/a
Site CT Imaging	191	168	110	69	58	n/a
Core Lab CT Imaging	190	165	99	69	59	n/a
Site KUB Imaging	179	153	108	n/a	n/a	n/a
Core Lab KUB Imaging	178	149	93	n/a	n/a	n/a
Site Evaluated for Endoleak	187	163	107	n/a	n/a	n/a
Core Lab Evaluated for Endoleak	161	148	92	n/a	n/a	n/a
Site Evaluated for Aneurysm	n/a	149	104	n/a	n/a	n/a
Enlargement						
Core Lab Evaluated for Aneurysm	n/a	151	94	n/a	n/a	n/a
Enlargement			L.,	<u> </u>		

Data analysis sample size varies for each of the timepoints above and in the following tables. This variability is due to patient availability for follow-up, as well as, quantity and quality of images available from specific timepoints for evaluation. For example, the number and quality of images available for evaluation of endoleak at 12 months is different than the number and quality of images available at 24 months due to variation in the number of image exams performed, the number of images provided from the clinical site to the Core Lab, and/or the number of images with acceptable evaluation quality. Totals at time points are not cumulative, unless otherwise noted.

2 Totals at time points are cumulative.

10.3. Patient Demographics

Tables 10.3-1 and 10.3-2 tabulate patient demographics and comorbid conditions and present the distribution of initial aneurysm diameters for the four study groups.

Table 10.3-1. Patient Demographics and Comorbid Conditions

Item	Zenith Standard Risk	Surgical Standard Risk	P value	Zenith High Risk	Zenith Roll-in
Age (years)	71 ± 7	69 ± 7	.03	77 ± 7	74 ± 8
Gender male	94% (187/200)	89% (71/80)	.22	92% (92/100)	90% (47/52)
Current medical conditions					
Peripheral vasc, disease	16% (31/195)	25% (19/76)	.12	24% (23/96)	9.6% (5/52)
Hypertension	64% (127/200)	83% (65/78)	.001	68% (67/99)	67% (35/52)
Renal failure	0.0% (0/197)	0.0% (0/79)	>.99	5.2% (5/97)	1.9% (1/52)
COPD	20% (39/199)	18% (14/78)	.87	34% (33/98)	22% (11/51)
Thromboembolic event	4.5% (9/199)	7.7% (6/78)	.38	7.1% (7/99)	1.9% (1/52)
Liver disease	2.1% (4/192)	5.1% (4/79)	.24	1.0% (1/99)	1.9% (1/52)
Diabetes Mellitus	12% (24/199)	15% (12/79)	.55	17% (17/99)	14% (7/51)
Insulin-dependent	17% (4/24)	8.3% (1/12)	.65	24% (4/17)	43% (3/7)
Previous medical conditions					
Ml	39% (74/192)	29% (23/80)	.13	35% (34/98)	35% (18/52)
Congestive heart failure	5.0% (10/199)	12% (9/78)	.07	16% (16/100)	10% (5/50)
Angina	49% (98/198)	39% (31/79)	.14	45% (44/98)	44% (23/52)
Arrhythmia	20% (40/197)	22% (17/78)	.87	28% (27/98)	24% (12/51)
Cerebrovascular disease	9.5% (19/199)	16% (13/79)	.14	20% (20/99)	9.8% (5/51)
Systemic infection	1.0% (2/196)	0.0% (0/78)	>.99	3.1% (3/97)	0.0% (0/49)
Cancer	22% (43/200)	19% (15/80)	.74	31% (31/99)	29% (15/51)
Family history of aneurysmal disease	16% (24/150)	27% (17/63)	.09	14% (11/77)	26% (10/38)
Previous surgery at site	10% (20/200)	15% (12/79)	.22	10% (10/99)	14% (7/51)
Previous radiation at site	0.5% (1/197)	0.0% (0/79)	>.99	2.0% (2/100)	2.0% (1/51)
Excessive alcohol use	3.6% (7/193)	10% (8/77)	.04	3.1% (3/96)	4.0% (2/50)
Tobacco use Never smoked Past smoker Still smokes	10% (20/193) 69% (133/193) 21% (40/193)	5.0% (4/80) 60% (48/80) 35% (28/80)	.03	14% (13/96) 69% (66/96) 18% (17/96)	24% (12/49) 57% (28/49) 18% (9/49)

Table 10.3-2. Aneurysm Diameter Distribution

Diameter Range	Zenith Standard Risk	Surgical Standard Risk	Zenith High Risk	Zenith Roll-in
< 30 mm	0.0% (0/199)	0.0% (0/78)	0.0% (0/100)	0.0% (0/52)
30-39 mm	0.5% (1/199)	0.0% (0/78)	1.0% (1/100)	0.0% (0/52)
40-49 mm	23% (45/199)	7.7% (6/78)	15% (15/100)	13% (7/52)
50-59 mm	48% (95/199)	33% (26/78)	47% (47/100)	40% (21/52)
60-69 mm	24% (47/199)	29% (23/78)	27% (27/100)	42% (22/52)
70-79 mm	3.0% (6/199)	21% (16/78)	5.0% (5/100)	1.9% (1/52)
80-89 mm	2.5% (5/199)	6.4% (5/78)	1.0% (1/100)	0.0% (0/52)
≥ 90 mm	0.0% (0/199)	2.6% (2/78)	1.0% (1/100)	0.0% (0/52)

Aneurysm diameter distribution was not assessed in three high and one roll-in patient.

10.4. Results

10.4.1. Devices Implanted

Table 10.4.1-1 lists the devices implanted in the U.S. pivotal trial. Devices were implanted intraoperatively unless otherwise noted.

Table 10.4.1-1. Devices implanted

Item	Zenith Standard Risk	Zenith High Risk	Zenith Roll-in
Main body and legs	99.5% (199/200)*	100% (100/100) ¹	100% (52/52)
Main body extension ²	1.5% (3/199)	1% (1/100)	5.8% (3/52)
Ipsilateral iliac leg extension ^{3,5}	9.5% (19/199)	11% (11/100)	0% (0/52)
Contralateral iliac leg extension ^{4,5}	11% (21/199)	11% (11/100)	13.5% (7/52)
Converter	0.5% (1/199)**	0% (0/100)	.0% (0/52)
Occluder	0% (0/199)	0% (0/100)	0% (0/52)

^{*} One standard risk patient did not receive a device due to tortuosity and calcification of the access vessel.

^{**} Converter was used without occluder

¹One device was custom.

² Two standard risk and one high risk patient received main body extensions post-procedure; one standard risk patient received two main body extensions.

³ Two standard risk and one high risk patient received ipsilateral leg extensions post-procedure.

⁴ Four standard risk, two high risk and one roll-in patient received contralateral leg extensions post-procedure.

⁵Three standard risk and three high risk patients received both ipsilateral and contralateral extensions during the procedure; one standard risk received both ipsilateral and contralateral extensions post-procedure.

10.4.2. Primary Results

Measures of mortality, rupture, conversion and adverse events are presented in Table 10.4.2-1. and Figures 10.4.2-1 and 10.4.2-2. Where available, 24-month data are provided. Control patients were not followed beyond 12 months and some data have not yet been adjudicated beyond 12 months. Therefore, some results are presented to 12 months while other results are presented to 24 months in this section. Figures 10.4.2-1 and 10.4.2-2 depict all-cause and AAA-related survival, respectively. An independent clinical events committee adjudicated all deaths for possible relationship to aneurysm repair. All early deaths (0-30 days) were considered AAA-related. Deaths after 30 days were considered AAA-related if AAA disease or device involvement was confirmed. Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft patients exhibited no significant differences between males and females for survival and freedom from major adverse events. (Error bars in Figures 10.4.2-1, 10.4.2-2 and 10.4.2-3 represent 95% confidence limits.) Table 10.4.2-3. presents success measures.

Table 10.4.2-1. Principal safety results

ltem	Zenith Standard Risk ¹	Surgical Standard Risk	P value	Zenith High Risk	Zenith Roll-in
All death (0-30 days) ²	0.5% (1/199)	2.5% (2/80)	.20	2.0% (2/100)	1.9% (1/52)
All death (31-365 days) ^{2,3} AAA-related Non-AAA-related	3.0% (6/198) 0.0% (0/198) 3.0% (6/198)	1.3% (1/78) 1.3% (1/78) 0.0% (0/78)	.68 .29 .19	7.1% (7/98) 3.1% (3/98) 4.1% (4/98)	9.8% (5/51) 0.0% (0/51) 9.8% (5/51)
All death (0-365 days) 2.3.4 AAA-related Non-AAA-related	3.5% (7/199) 0.5% (1/199) 3.0% (6/199)	3.8% (3/80) 3.8% (3/80) 0.0% (0/80)	>.99 .07 .19	9.0% (9/100) 5.0% (5/100) 4.0% (4/100)	11.5% (6/52) 1.9% (1/52) 9.6% (5/52)
Rupture (0-30 days)	0.0% (0/199)	n/a	n/a	0.0% (0/100)	0.0% (0/52)
Rupture (31-365 days)	0.0% (0/198)	n/a	n/a	1.0% (1/98)	0.0% (0/51)
Rupture (0-365 days)	0.0% (0/199)	n/a	n/a	1.0% (1/100)	0.0% (0/52)
Conversion (0-30 days)	0.0% (0/199)	n/a	n/a	0.0% (0/100)	0.0% (0/52)
Conversion (31-365 days) ⁵	1.0% (2/199)	n/a	n/a	1.0% (1/100)	0.0% (0/52)
Conversion (0-365 days) 5	1.0% (2/199)	n/a	n/a	1.0% (1/100)	0.0% (0/52)
Adverse Events (0-30 days) ⁶	20% (40/200)	43% (34/80)	<.001	32% (32/100)	27% (14/52)
Adverse Events (31-365 days) ⁶	8.6%(17/199)	14% (11/78)	.25	21% (21/98)	14% (7/51)
Adverse Events (0-365 days) ⁶	25% (49/200)	51% (41/80)	<.001	45% (45/100)	38% (20/52)

Denominator of 199 because one standard risk patient did not receive a device.

All deaths (0-30 days) were considered AAA and procedure related.

Of the deaths (31-365 days), four were considered AAA related: 1 surgical (septic shock from ischemic colitis) and 3 high risk (pancreatitis with renal failure and sepsis, hemorrhage from upper abdominal aneurysm [not treated AAA], and multiple system failure).


Of the deaths (0-365 days), ten were considered AAA related: I standard risk (cardiac failure), 3 surgical (massive hemorrhage, mesenteric ischemia, and septic shock from ischemic colitis), 5 high risk (respiratory failure, cardiac failure with pulmonary embolism, pancreatitis with renal failure and sepsis, hemorrhage from upper abdominal aneurysm [not treated AAA], and multiple system failure) and 1 roll-in (suspected cardiac failure).

Standard risk patients underwent conversions due to a persistent, proximal Type I endoleak and a new suprarenal aortic aneurysm. Three surgical patients had massive hemorrhages, of which 2 required re-operation and one died.

Adverse events included in the morbidity index

Figure 10.4.2-1 presents all-cause survival to 24 months. The accompanying table presents the Kaplan-Meier analysis at 1, 6, 12, and 24 months.

Figure 10.4.2-1. Survival at 24 Months




	l month		(6 months		2 months	24 months	
	n	% survival	n	% survival	n	% survival	n	% survival
Zenith Standard Risk	198	99.5	194	98.0	190	96.5	97	90.9
Surgical Standard Risk	78	97.5	73	96.2	67	96.2	n/a	n/a_

n= patients alive and available for follow-up at the end of the interval

P=.81

Figure 10.4.2-2 presents AAA-related survival (determined by Clinical Events Committee) to 24 months. The accompanying table presents the Kaplan-Meier analysis at 1, 6, 12, and 24 months.

Figure 10.4.2-2. AAA-related Survival at 24 Months



	1 month		(6 months		2 months	24 months	
	n	% survival	n	% survival	n	% survival	n	% survival
Zenith Standard Risk	198	99.5	194	99.5	190	99.5	97	99.0
Surgical Standard Risk	78	97.5	73	96.2	67	96.2	n/a	n/a

n= patients alive and available for follow-up at the end of the interval

P=.04


Table 10.4.2-3. Success Measures

Item	1 –	enith lard Risk	ı	gical ird Risk	_	enith gh Risk	Zenith Roll-In
Technical success ¹	99.5%	(199/200)	98.8%	(79/80)	100%	(100/100)	100% (52/52)
Procedural success at 30 days ²	95.1%	(155/163)	88%	(60/68)	86%	(70/81)	91% (30/33)
Treatment success at 12 months ³	89%	(122/137)	85%	(52/61)	70%	(44/63)	87% (26/30)

Patent graft following deployment.

Figure 10.4.2-3 presents freedom from morbidity (events in the morbidity index). The accompanying table presents the Kaplan-Meier analysis at 1, 6, and 12 months.

Figure 10.4.2-3. Freedom from Morbidity (0-365 days)



		1 month		6 months	12 months		
	n %		n	%	n	% survival	
Zenith Standard Risk	162	81.0	154	78.5	133	77.4	
Surgical Standard Risk	45	57.1	39	52.0	33	47.8	

n= patients alive and free of morbidity at the end of the interval P<.001

Tables 10:4.2-4 through 10.4.2-7 describe results of the Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft subjects as reported by the Core Lab. Device performance factors analyzed by the Core Lab include device integrity (Table 10.4.2-4), device patency (Table 10.4.2-5), migration (Table 10.4.2-6), and limb separation (Table 10.4.2-7).

²Technical success with no major complications, patent graft and no Type I or Type III endoleaks at 30 days.

³Procedural success extended to 12 months with no aneurysm enlargement (>5 mm).

Table 10.4.2-4. Abdominal Radiographic Findings - Device Integrity

Ite	Item		Zenith Standard Risk		Zenith High Risk		nith II-In
Stent	Pre-discharge	0.0%	(0/172)	0.000	(0/81)	0.0%	(0.39)
Fractures	30 day	0.0%	(0/172)	0.0°°	(0/83)	().()%	(0.43)
	6 month	0.0%	(0/166)	0.0%	(0/78)	0.0%	(0/35)
	12 month	0.0%	(0/148)	0.0%	(0/60)	0.0%	(0/28)
i	24 month	0.0%	(0/93)	0.0%	(0/42)	0.0%	(0/19)
Barb	Pre-discharge	0.0%	(0/176)	0.0%	(0/86)	0.0%	(0/39)
Separation ²	30 day	0.0%	(0/178)	0.0%	(0/86)	0.0%	(0/43)
	6 month	1.2%	(2/167)	2.5%	(2/80)	0.0%	(0/35)
	12 month	2.0%	(3/149)	1.7%	(1/60)	0.0%	(0/28)
	24 month	1.1%	(1/93)	0.0%	(0/42)	0.0%	(0/19)
Graft material	Pre-discharge	0.0%	(0/176)	0.0%	(0/86)	0.0%	(0/39)
rupture	30 day	0.0%	(0/178)	0.0%	(0/86)	0.0%	(0/43)
	6 month	0.0%	(0/167)	0.0%	(0/80)	0.0%	(0/35)
	12 month	0.0%	(0/149)	0.0%	(0/60)	0.0%	(0/28)
	24 month	0.0%	(0/93)	0.0%	(0/42)	0.0%	(0/19)

Stent fracture percentages are for main body. There were also no right iliac leg, left iliac leg, occluder, converter, left iliac extension, right iliac extension, or main body extension fractures observed by the core lab.

Patients with separation of 1 or 2 barbs (of 10 or 12 total); no adverse clinical sequelae.

Table 10.4.2-5. CT Findings – Graft Patency

	ltem		Zenith Standard Risk		nith h Risk	Zenith Roll-In	
Graft	30 day	100%	(185/185)	99%	(85/86)	100%	(47/47)
patency	6 month	99%	(183/184)	100%	(74/74)	100%	(39/39)
	12 month	99%	(153/155)	100%	(62/62)	100%	(30/30)
	24 month	100%	(96/96)	100%	(33/33)	100%	(25/25)

Table 10.4.2-6. CT Findings – Graft (Main Body) Migration

Item		enith ard Risk		nith 1 Risk		nith II-In
Graft migration (>5 mm) at 12 months with clinical sequelae or intervention	0.0%	(0/162)	0.0%	(0/71)	0.0%	(0/34)
without clinical sequelae ¹ or intervention	2.5%	(4/162)	2.8%	(2/71)	0.0%	(0/34)
Graft migration (>10 mm)	0.0%	(0/162)	0.0%	(0/71)	0.0%	(0/34)

¹Migration with clinical sequelae would include endoleak, conversion, rupture or AAA-related death.

Table 10.4.2-7. Abdominal Radiograph Findings – Limb Separation

Item			Zenith Standard Risk		nith 1 Risk	Zenith Roll-In	
Limb	Pre-discharge	0.0%	(0/176)	0.0%	(0/86)	0.0%	(0/39)
separation	30 day	0.0%	(0/178)	0.0%	(0/86)	0.0%	(0/43)
	6 month	0.0%	(0/167)	0.0%	(0/80)	0.0%	(0/35)
	12 month	0.0%	(0/149)	0.0%	(0/60)	0.0%	(0/28)
	24 month	0.0%	(0/93)	0.0%	(0/42)	0.0%	(0/19)

Table 10.4.2-8 presents the incidence of endoleaks by evaluation interval, as identified by the Core Lab for the standard risk, high risk, and roll-in patients, respectively.

Table 10.4.2-8. Endoleaks (all types, new and persistent)

	Zenith Standard Risk	Zenith High Risk	Zenith Roll-in
Endoleaks			
Pre-discharge	15% (23/153)	14% (11/78)	12% (3/26)
30 day ¹	9.9% (16/161)	12% (9/75)	6.3% (2/32)
6 month ¹	8.7% (15/172)	11% (8/70)	8.6% (3/35)
12 month ¹	7.4% (11/148)	8.8% (5/57)	3.4% (1/29)

¹Includes both persistent endoleaks and new observations

Tables 10.4.2-9 - 10.4.2-11 present the incidence of first occurrence of an endoleak according to evaluation interval, as identified by the Core Lab at or before the 30 day, 6 month, and 12 month exams for the standard risk, high risk, and roll-in patients, respectively. The number of patients who are leak-free thereafter is also given.

43

Table 10.4.2-9. First occurrence of endoleak for standard risk patients

	To One Month Exam N=179			Six Month Exam N=172			Twelve Month Exam³ N=148			
Item	%	Endo- leak ¹	Leak- free there- after ²	0. 70	Endo- leak ¹	Leak- free there- after ²	%	Endo- leak ¹	Leak- free there- after ²	
Endoleaks	17	31	17	2.3	4	3	3.4	5	2	
Proximal Type I	2.8	5	4	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	
Distal Type I	1.7	3	1	0.0	0	0	0.7	1	1	
Type II	9.5	17	9	2.3	4	3	1.4	2	1	
Type III	1.1	2	2	0.0	0	0	0.7	1	0	
Type IV	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	
Multiple	1.1	2	1	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	
Unknown	1.1	2	0	0.0	0	0	0.7	1	0	

¹Identified by Core Lab

Table 10.4.2-10. First occurrence of endoleak for high risk patients

	To One Month Exam N=88			Six Month Exam N=70			Twelve Month Exam ³ N=57		
Item	%	Endo- leak ¹	Leak- free there- after ²	%	Endo- leak ¹	Leak- free there- after ²	%	Endo- leak ¹	Leak- free there- after ²
Endoleaks	18	16	6	2.9	2	0	3.5	2	1
Proximal Type I	2.3	2	1	0.0	0	0	0	0	0
Distal Type I	1.1	1	1	0.0	0	0	0	0	0
Type II	9.1	8	3	1.4	1	0	1.8	1	0
Type III	0.0	0.0	0	1.4	1	0	1.8	1	1
Type IV	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0
Multiple	4.5	4	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0
Unknown	1.1	1	1	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0

²Subsequent endoleaks may have been of difference type than original ³Only 2 patients had new endoleaks after 12 months; follow-up after 24 months not available

¹Identified by Core Lab
²Subsequent endoleaks may have been of difference type than original
³No endoleaks after 12 months; follow-up after 24 months not available

Table 10.4.2-11. First occurrence of endoleak¹ for roll-in patients

	To One Month Exam N=36			Six Month Exam N=35			Twelve Month Exam ³ N=29			
Item	%	Endo -leak ¹	Leak- free there- after ²	%	Endo -leak ¹	Leak- free there- after ²	%	Endo- leak ¹	Leak- free there- after ²	
Endoleaks	11	4	2	2.9	1	0	0.0	0	0	
Proximal Type I	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	
Distal Type I	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	
Type II	5.6	2	1	2.9	1	0	0.0	0	0	
Type III	2.8	1	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	
Type IV	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	
Multiple	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	
Unknown	2.8	l	1	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	

Identified by Core Lab

Tables 10.4.2-12 - 10.4.2-14 present the change in aneurysm diameter for the endovascular patients, as identified by the Core Lab. Table 10.4.2-12 presents maximum aneurysm diameter change by interval. Tables 10.4.2-13 and 10.4.2-14 present aneurysm change and endoleak at 12 and 24 months respectively.

Table 10.4.2-12. Change in Maximum Aneurysm Diameter by Interval

Item			lenith dard Risk		lenith gh Risk		enith oll-In
From pre-discharge							
30-day	Decrease >5 mm	1.7%	(3/180)	4.8%	(4/84)	0.0%	(0/40)
	Unchanged	97%	(174/180)	94%	(79/84)	97.5%	(39/40)
	Increase >5 mm	1.7%	(3/180)	1.2%	(1/84)	2.5%	(1/40)
Change from pre-disc	charge						
6-month	Decrease >5 mm	36%	(63/173)	41%	(30/73)	49%	(18/37)
	Unchanged	62%	(108/173)	59%	(43/73)	51%	(19/37)
	Increase >5 mm	1.2%	(2/173)	0.0%	(0/73)	0.0%	(0/37)
Change from pre-disc	charge						
12-month	Decrease >5 mm	68%	(102/151)	63%	(39/62)	67%	(20/30)
	Unchanged	31%	(47/151)	35%	(22/62)	33%	(10/30)
	Increase >5 mm	1.3%	(2/151)	1.6%	(1/62)	0.0%	(0/30)
Change from pre-disc	charge						**
24-month	Decrease >5 mm	78%	(74/94)	75%	(27/36)	71%	(17/24)
	Unchanged	19%	(18/94)	25%	(9/36)	25%	(6/24)
i :	Increase >5 mm	2.1%	(2/94)	0.0%	(0/36)	4.2%	(1/24)

Only includes subjects with interpretable films and measurements of aneurysm change

45

²Subsequent endoleaks may have been of difference type than original

³No endoleaks after 12 months; follow-up after 24 months not available

Table 10.4.2-13. Change in Aneurysm Size and Endoleak at 12 Months

Item	Zenith Standard Risk N=140		Risk	Zenith High Risk N=53			Zenith Roll-In N=27		
Aneurysm size change		Endo	oleak		Endo	oleak		Endo	oleak
from pre-discharge to 12 months	N	N	%	. N	N	%	N	N	%
Decrease >5 mm	96	3	3	35	3	9	20	0	0
Unchanged	42	8	19	17	2	12	7	1	14
Increase >5 mm	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10.4.2-14. Change in Aneurysm Size and Endoleak at 24 Months

Item	Sta	Zenith Standard Risk N=90		ŀ	Zenith High Risk N=31			Zenith Roll-In N=21		
Aneurysm size change		End	oleak		Endo	oleak		Endo	oleak	
from pre-discharge to 24 months	N	N	%	N	N	%	N	N	%	
Decrease >5 mm	71	3	4	24	1	4	16	0	0	
Unchanged	18	1	6	7	3	43	5	0	0	
Increase >5 mm	1	ı	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	

AAA-related secondary interventions within the first year were performed in 11% of the Zenith Standard Risk, 13% Zenith High Risk and 5.8% Zenith Roll-in subjects as shown in Table 10.4.2-15. Greater than 50% of the secondary interventions involved catheterization to treat an endoleak. AAA-related secondary interventions within the second year were performed in 4.2% of the Zenith Standard Risk, 2.2% Zenith High Risk and 2.3% Zenith Roll-in subjects as shown in Table 10.4.2-16.

Table 10.4.2-15. Secondary Interventions (to 12 Months)

Intervention	Standa	nith rd Risk 199	Zen High N=1	Risk	Zenith Roll-In N=52	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Conversion to Open Repair	2	1	1	1	0	0
Subjects with ≥1 Intervention	21	11	13	13	3	5.8
Treat an Endoleak Embolization	5	2.5	3	3	1	2
Ancillary Component	6	3	4	4	1	2
Stent	1	0.5	0	0	0	0
Angioplasty	0	0	1	1	0	0
Treat an Aneurysm Increase Embolization	0	0	0	0	0	0
Treat a Limb Occlusion	1	0.5	3	3	1	2
Treat a Limb Stenosis	1	0.5	0	0	0	0
Treat a Renal Artery	5	2.5	0	0	0	0
Treat Infra-inguinal Ischemia	1	0.5	1	1	0	0
Treat Multiple Events	1	0.5	1	1	0	0



Table 10.4.2-16. Secondary Interventions (>12 to 24 Months)

Intervention	Standa	nith ird Risk 190	Zenith High Risk N=90		Zenith Roll-In N=44	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Conversion to Open Repair	1	0.5	1	1.1	0	0.0
Subjects with ≥1 Intervention	8	4.2	2	2.2	1	2.3
Treat an Endoleak Embolization	31	1.6	0	0	12	2.3
Ancillary Component	. 1	0.5	0	0	0	0
Stent	0	0	1	1.1	0	0
Angioplasty	0	0	0	0	0	0
Treat an Aneurysm Increase Embolization	1	0.5	0	0	12	2.3
Treat a Limb Occlusion	1	0.5	0	0	0	0
Treat a Limb Stenosis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Treat a Renal Artery	0	0	1	1.1	0	0
Treat Infra-inguinal ischemia	1	0.5	0	0	0	0
Treat Multiple Events	I	0.5	. 0	0	0	0

¹ Patient also received ancillary component

10.4.3. Secondary Outcome Measures

As described in Table 10.4.3-1, treatment of AAA with the Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft with the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System compared to the surgical control group demonstrated significant benefits in recovery and quality of life measures. Secondary measures included anesthesia time, procedure time, blood bank products received and blood loss. In addition, clinical utility was assessed through a number of clinical measures obtained before hospital discharge, including days in the ICU, days to discharge, days to oral fluids, days to normal diet, days to normal bowel function, days to ambulation, hours of intubation, and maximum core body temperature.

4)

² Patient underwent intervention to treat aneurysm increase and endoleak

Table 10.4.3-1. Secondary Outcomes by Treatment Group

Item	Zenith Standard Risk	Surgical Standard Risk	P value	Zenith High Risk	Zenith Roll-in
Anesthesia time (min)	221.6 ± 67.3	304.5 ± 102.7	<.001	218.9 ± 69.6	213.9 ± 57.7
Procedure time (min)	153.2 ± 56.3	238.7 ± 92.2	<.001	153.5 ± 58.6	155.9 ± 43.2
Blood bank products received	5.0% (10/200)	84% (67/80)	<.001	12% (12/100)	3.8% (2/52)
Blood loss (cc)	299 ± 324	1676 ± 1676	<.001	356 ± 514	265 ± 226
Days in ICU	0.4 ± 0.9	3.4 ± 4.6	<.001	0.5 ± 1.2	0.5 ± 0.9
Days to discharge	2.6 ± 1.7	8.8 ± 5.6	<.001	3.0 ± 2.8	2.7 ± 1.5
Days to oral fluids	0.5 ± 0.8	3.9 ± 2.5	<.001	0.5 ± 0.6	0.7 ± 0.5
Days to normal diet	1.3 ± 1.2	6.6 ± 4.9	<.001	1.3 ± 0.8	1.1 ± 0.7
Days to normal bowel function	2.6 ± 1.4	4.2 ± 2.1	<.001	2.6 ± 1.5	2.0 ± 1.2
Days to ambulation	1.2 ± 0.7	3.5 ± 3.4	<.001	1.2 ± 0.7	1.2 ± 0.6
Hours of intubation	1.9 ± 2.2	11.7 ± 13.6	<.001	1.2 ± 1.7	2.6 ± 4.6
Maximum temperature	101.1 ± 1.3	100.7 ± 1.2	.06	100.8 ± 1.1	101.0 ± 1.2

10.4.4. Evaluation of Gender Bias

The occurrence of AAA disease is known to be higher in men than women and the ratio of men to women enrolled in this study reflects the general population. In order to more carefully evaluate possible gender based differences in outcomes of treatment with the Zenith[®] AAA Endovascular Graft with the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System, Cook requested and was granted approval to establish a registry for the treatment of AAA disease in women with standard medical risk. Measures of survival, 30-day morbidity, conversion and death using these combined data sets are presented in Table 10.4.4-1 through Table 10.4.4-3.

Zenith[®] AAA Endovascular Graft subjects exhibited no significant differences between males and females for survival and 30-day morbidity (31 measures). No gender based differences were identified in AAA-related mortality after treatment with the Zenith[®] AAA Endovascular Graft with the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System.

48

Table 10.4.4-1. Kaplan-Meier AAA-related survival rates by gender: All Zenith pivotal + female, and surgical

¥4	All Zenit	th Pivotal + Registry	Surgical Standard Risk				
Item	Male	Female	rale P value	Male	Femal e	P Value	
Survival rate at 30 days	98.8%	100%	.49	97.2%	100%	.61	
Survival rate at 365 days	97.5%	100%	.35	97.0%	100%	.26	

Table 10.4.4-2. 30-day morbidity (31 measures) by gender: All Zenith pivotal + female, and surgical

	All Zenit	h Pivotal + F Registry	emale	Surgical Standard Risk				
	Male (N=326)	Female (N=41)	P value	Male (N=71)	Female (N=9)	P value		
30-day morbidity	0.34 ± 0.73	0.39 ± 0.63	.66	0.86 <u>+</u> 1.46	1.44 ± 2.00	.28		

Table 10.4.4-3. Conversion and death by gender: All Zenith pivotal + female, and surgical

Item	All Zenith Pivotal (including high risk patients) + Female Registry			Surgical Standard Risk		
	Male	Female	P value	Male	Female	P value
Conversion (0-365 days)	0.31% (1/324)	5.0% (2/40)	.03	n/a	n/a	n/a
All death (0-30 days)	1.2% (4/326)	0.0% (0/40)	>.99	2.8% (2/71)	0.0% (0/9)	>.99
All death (0-365 days)	6.8% (22/323)	2.6% (1/38)	.49	3.0% (2/67)	11% (1/9)	.32
AAA-related	2.5% (8/323)	0.0% (0/38)	>.99	3.0% (2/67)	11% (1/9)	.32
Procedure-related	2.2% (7/323)	0.0% (0/38)	-	3.0% (2/67)	11% (1/9)	.32
Technique-related	0.62% (2/323)	0.0% (0/38)	-	3.0% (2/67)	11% (1/9)	.32
Device-related	0.0% (0/323) ²	0.0% (0/38)	_	0.0% (0/67)	0.0% (0/9)	-

One patient did not receive a device

49

²One high risk patient death was not device related but information was insufficient to rule out device involvement.

11. Conclusions Drawn from Studies

The Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft with the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System has undergone pre-clinical testing and clinical evaluation in a prospective, multicenter U.S. trial. Study results met the five study hypotheses of a reduced 30-day morbidity, equivalent 30-day and 12-month survival rates, equivalent 12-month treatment success, and improved clinical utility for Zenith patients as compared to surgical controls. The additional clinical benefits associated with the Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft with the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System compared to open surgical repair include reducing the duration of intubation, reducing the number of days spent in the hospital, reducing the number of days spent in the ICU, reducing the number of days to the resumption of oral fluids, diet, and normal bowel functions, reducing the number of days to ambulation, reducing anesthesia time, reducing procedural time, reducing procedural blood loss and the need for blood products. Most aneurysms (68%) had decreased in size or remained stable (31%) at 12 months. Very low incidence of aneurysm rupture and conversion to open repair were observed. Adequate device integrity was also demonstrated, although isolated observations illustrate the importance of periodic imaging follow-up.

12. Panel Recommendation

The Zenith® AAA Endovascular Graft with the H&L-B One-Shot™ Introduction System was presented to the Circulatory System Device Panel on April 10, 2003. The Panel recommended approving the device with conditions. The Panel's conditions included revisions to the intended use of the device, removing references to isolated iliac aneurysm from the indications for use, stressing the importance in the labeling of lifelong clinical follow-up of patients following endograft placement, and a post approval study as outlined in the PMA for follow-up of patients in the pivotal study for 5 years. The Panel also recommended modifications to the device labeling.

13. FDA Decision

FDA reviewed portions of the premarket application approval (PMA) application under the modular PMA process (M010038). All of the modules were incorporated into the review of the PMA (P020018).

FDA concurred with the Circulatory System Device Panel recommendations of April 10, 2003. To address these conditions, Cook Incorporated agreed to conduct a post approval study in order to gather 5-year follow-up data on patients enrolled in the pivotal study



and to revise the labeling to address the concerns raised by the Panel, which was reviewed by FDA and found acceptable.

The sponsor also agreed to provide a clinical update to physicians annually on the performance of the device due to the number of problems which historically have occurred with these types of device. This condition is consistent with conditions of approval issued by FDA for other marketed endovascular graft devices. During December 3-10, 2002, the sponsor's manufacturing facilities were inspected and found to be in compliance with the Quality System Regulation (21 CFR 820).

FDA issued an approval order for P020018 on: May 23, 2003

14. Approval Specifications

Directions for Use: See labeling

Hazards to Health from Use of the Device: See Indications, Contraindications,

Warnings, Precautions, and Adverse

Events in the Labeling

Post-approval Requirements, Restrictions: See approval order

51